



BUCKINGHAMSHIRE
NEW UNIVERSITY

EST. 1891



STUDENT CODE OF CONDUCT

Standards of behaviour expected of students
and the procedures for dealing with alleged
breaches of conduct

Contents

Introduction	3
Purpose and Scope	3
Relevance to other procedures.....	4
Responsibilities	5
The Student Code of Conduct	5
Expectations of student behaviour.....	5
Student misconduct.....	5
Procedures for dealing with alleged breaches of the Student Code of Conduct	7
Making an allegation.....	7
General Principles	7
Standards of Proof.....	8
Initial considerations and the preliminary investigation stage.....	8
The formal stage	8
The review stage	10
Completion of procedures.....	11
Appendix 1: Procedure for a Conduct Hearing	13
Appendix 2: Process for Temporary Exclusion	16

Approved by: **Council**
Version No. **1.1**
Owner: **Academic Registry**

Date first published: **Sep-2020**
Date updated: **Sep-2020**
Review Date: **Sep-2026**

This document has been designed to be accessible for readers. However, should you require the document in an alternative format please contact the Academic Registry.

Note: Hyperlinks have been provided for ease of reference. For other documents (referred to in italics) please see the Policies page on the main University website (<http://bucks.ac.uk/about-us/governance-and-policies/policies>).

Introduction

- 1 The University is committed to providing a community where equality is promoted, diversity and inclusivity is valued, and individuals are respected. Our staff and students share the responsibility for promoting and fostering an inclusive environment and ensuring that others do not experience harassment.
- 2 Good conduct is essential to what it means to be a student of Bucks New University. This means being aware of the impact of your behaviour on others, knowing what is acceptable, and engaging positively with the local community, treating others with respect, dignity and consideration taking responsibility for your actions and for your learning and development.
- 3 If you see or experience unacceptable behaviour please report it to any one of the following members of staff / areas of the University, where you will be advised what happens next:
 - Personal Tutor, Course Leader or other senior member of your School;
 - Students' Union Advice Centre (suadvice@bucks.ac.uk);
 - Student Resolution (resolution@bucks.ac.uk);
 - Directorate of Student Success (student.centre@bucks.ac.uk).
- 4 The University has a duty of care towards all staff and students and will act to safeguard their interests, and will signpost to appropriate support services. We will treat incidents of discrimination, bullying, harassment or other inappropriate behaviour seriously.
- 5 The University will take appropriate action to protect the University community against inappropriate behaviour, including reporting the matter to the Police or taking legal action.
- 6 The University promotes positive behaviours. Recommendations arising from student casework will be used to improve guidance and support for students.

Purpose and Scope

- 7 The purpose of this *Code of Conduct* is to set out the standards of behaviour expected of students, and the University's process for investigating and addressing alleged breaches of the Code.
- 8 It applies to all Bucks New University students from the point of enrolment on a programme at the University, through to the conclusion of their studies and/or graduation, but not after the graduation date.

Note: Where this document refers to 'students' this will include degree and higher degree apprentices unless specified otherwise.

- 9 Where students are enrolled at a partner institution, the partner's own conduct procedures should be used in the first instance. For instances of major or gross breaches of conduct resulting in temporary or permanent exclusion, the decision will usually be referred to the University for ratification.

- 10 The *Code* applies to student behaviour which takes place:
- on or in close proximity to the University's premises;
 - on sites temporarily used by the University for teaching or examination;
 - during teaching sessions delivered by online and distance learning means;
 - on social media;
 - during University-sponsored or arranged placements, visits or study trips;
 - when accessing the University's computer network from home or elsewhere; or
 - when an individual is representing the University in any way and in a manner which may bring the University into disrepute.
- 11 The University will not normally take action towards students acting in their personal capacity in their own homes, or in public places away from the University's premises except as indicated above.
- 12 The University is not placed to undertake a criminal investigation, but will:
- co-operate fully with any associated Police investigation and subsequent legal proceedings;
 - establish separate conduct proceedings where there are indications that University regulations have been breached.

The University will not undertake any investigations or actions which may impact on a police investigation.

Note: Conduct action may run in parallel to risk assessment processes, although the two processes are independent. However, in the event of a police investigation, University conduct proceedings will be placed on hold (this could lead to substantial delays of weeks or even months).

In that event, the process of risk assessment may continue despite the police investigation because the University feels that this is a reasonable and proportionate way of discharging its duty of care to all concerned.

Relevance to other procedures

- 13 Examination and other academic assessment irregularities will be dealt with in accordance with the University's *Academic Misconduct* policy.
- 14 Infringements to the accommodation licence agreement, will normally be dealt with by the Accommodation Service in the first instance. Cases of persistent or gross misconduct may be referred to these procedures.
- 15 Alleged misconduct which takes place with the Students' Union (SU), or at an SU event or activity will normally be dealt with under the SU's own disciplinary procedures.
- 16 Where an allegation relates to a student who is also employed by the University or the Students' Union, consideration will be given under which procedure the alleged breach of conduct will be dealt with.
- 17 In some cases the University may choose to deal with an allegation under the *Fitness to Study* procedures.
- 18 Where a student is undertaking a course which leads to professional regulation, and the allegation leads to evidence of their behaviour impacting on their professional

standing, the University may instead choose to deal with the allegation under the *Fitness to Practise* procedures.

Responsibilities

- 19 This procedure is approved by Council, the University's Governing Body, in accordance with the University's *Articles of Government*.
- 20 Day to day management of this procedure is devolved to the Academic Registry. This includes monitoring and review to ensure that it is effectively managed and applied consistently across the University.
- 21 Academic Registry will also be responsible for making minor changes to procedure in accordance with good practice and to take account of any changes in the University's structure. A summary of changes will be reported to Council annually.

The Student Code of Conduct

Expectations of student behaviour

- 22 On campus, including the Halls of Residence, and when accessing University facilities online, the University expects students to:
 - Behave in a responsible manner, demonstrating respect to all members of the University community and maintaining a professional image;
 - Act within the law and not engage in any behaviour which is likely to bring the University into disrepute;
 - Behave and communicate in ways that do not offend others, such as not using abusive or obscene language or behaving in a discriminatory way;
 - Treat all University property with respect;
 - Comply with reasonable requests from members of staff, including the Security team;
 - Adhere to the University's Health and Safety policies, including observing fire alarms and evacuating buildings when alarms sound;
 - Be considerate to their neighbours especially in relation to noise levels, disposal of rubbish and parking.

Student misconduct

- 23 The following bullets list examples of misconduct as defined above. The list is not exhaustive:
 - Anti-social behaviour on campus or during an online teaching session, e.g. disturbing scheduled teaching, or causing disruption within a designated learning space;
 - Anti-social behaviour in the community, e.g. excessive noise, parking in access areas or private property, not arranging for household rubbish to be collected;
 - Breach of health and safety regulations, i.e. interfering with fire equipment, failure to evacuate etc. or other unsafe behaviour;
 - Damage to, or theft from, University or placement provider property;

- Misuse or unauthorised use of University premises, facilities or items of property;
- Bullying and/or harassment;
- Breach of use of computing facilities regulations, including the sharing of obscene images and material;
- Failure to respond to a reasonable instruction by a member of staff (including to desist in minor misconduct), or to produce an ID card when required to do so, or to disclose name and other relevant details when it is reasonable to require that information be given;
- Being disruptively under the influence of alcohol or drugs on campus or in University accommodation;
- Possession of illegal substances on University or placement provider's premises (including accommodation);
- Distribution of promotional or other material on University premises without prior approval;
- Making offensive (e.g. discriminatory) comments to or about members of the University, and/or making or publishing audio or visual recordings of members of the University (staff and students), without their consent and with the intention to, or which could reasonably be determined to result in, causing distress or harassment;
- Inappropriate use of social media, such as Facebook, Twitter etc. to make offensive comments to or about members of the University;
- Dishonesty and fraud in relation to the University or a placement provider (e.g. falsifying or misrepresenting education qualifications, financial information, impersonating another student) which may have significant impact on the University or its members, OR where the student or another person may gain significant benefit from the fraudulent behaviour;
- Incidents of sexual violence or harassment – including sexual acts by violence or coercion, sexual acts without consent, unwanted sexual comments or advances;
- Any criminal activity on University or placement provider's property;
- Serious criminal activity off University property, in particular any crimes involving violence;
- Behaviour likely to bring the University into disrepute.

Categories of misconduct

24 There are three categories of misconduct:

- Minor misconduct** relates to behaviour which is annoying but has a small or temporary impact on the community;
- Major misconduct** relates to behaviour which has a significant impact or has the potential to have a significant impact on the community;
- Gross misconduct** relates to behaviour which represents a fundamental breach of behavioural standards.

25 It is expected that instances of minor misconduct will be addressed immediately by a member of staff who witnesses an incident. This is designed to empower staff to address minor breaches of behavioural standards.

26 Incidents that fall under major or gross misconduct should be dealt with using the formal process outlined below.

Procedures for dealing with alleged breaches of the Student Code of Conduct

Making an allegation

- 27 An allegation should be submitted in the cases of alleged Major or Gross Misconduct and may be raised by:
- Employees of the University, including contracted staff;
 - Another student, or a group of students;
 - The Police;
 - Members of the Public.
- 28 Generally, the allegation will need to be made by the person or persons who has:
- Witnessed or discovered evidence of the misconduct;
 - Been the subject of the misconduct;
 - Received an allegation from an external source;
 - Taken a disclosure of sexual violence from a student, and with the students consent.
- 29 An allegation must be made in writing to the University (by email to resolution@bucks.ac.uk) within **10 working days** of the incident. The person making the report should include their full name and contact details and any supporting information.
- 30 Anonymous allegations will not be accepted. However, the University may take action to protect as far as possible the identity of an individual reporting an incident, especially where there is a verifiable concern of harm, and where it will not impair any subsequent investigation.
- 31 Allegations raised directly to the Vice-Chancellor or another member of the University Executive Team will be referred to the Academic Registry in the first instance.

Third parties who raise an allegation

- 32 Third parties who raise an allegation will not be provided with details of the investigation, but will be informed when appropriate action has been taken.
- 33 Third parties may not appeal the outcome of any investigation, but may submit a complaint to the University should they have concerns about how the incident has been handled.

General Principles

- 34 Actions and penalties imposed will be proportional to the offence and will take into account mitigating and aggravating factors of the parties involved.
- 35 The University will seek to conclude its procedures as quickly as possible and in line with sector good practice to minimise the impact on parties involved.
- 36 Processes will be applied consistently with opportunities for students to respond. Outcomes will be determined on the balance of probability with the burden of proof falling upon the University and clear reasons being given for decisions reached.

- 37 Decisions will be taken by members of staff who have had no previous involvement with the case, and no reasonable perception of bias.
- 38 In exceptional circumstances, the University may take action to speed up this process, especially where there is perceived to be an immediate risk to the health and safety of other members of the University community or general public which precludes a lengthy investigation. In such circumstances due consideration will be given to these general principles. Such actions may include referral of a case directly to a Conduct Panel.

Note: This clause (paragraph 38) will only be invoked with the express agreement of Senate (by Chair's Action where necessary). Senate will also set out the length of time that the clause will remain in effect, up to a maximum period of 12 months after which express reapproval will be required. This will prevent the clause being invoked unnecessarily or for longer than required.

Standards of Proof

- 39 The burden of proof lies with the University to establish that the allegation is true. The University must prove **on the balance of probabilities** that the student has done what they have been accused of. The student is not required to disprove the allegation.

Initial considerations and the preliminary investigation stage

- 40 On receipt of a formal allegation, within 5 working days, Academic Registry will undertake an initial review to ensure that the matter falls within the remit of these procedures and that appropriate and sufficient evidence has been provided. The allegation may be referred back to the person reporting it for further information or evidence to be supplied before action can be taken.
- 41 Academic Registry will also inform the student in writing (normally by email) of the allegation, provide them with any relevant evidence, and invite them to respond within 5 working days. If the student admits to the allegation this will be taken into consideration as to whether a full investigation is needed.
- 42 A Conduct Manager will be appointed to consider the case and make a decision on the outcome. If the student has admitted to the allegation, the decision regarding any penalty or referral to a panel hearing will be confirmed by the Conduct Manager immediately. If the student has not responded, or denied the allegations, the Conduct Manager will appoint an Investigating Officer to compile additional evidence and produce a report.
- 43 Where a student on campus is deemed to be potentially at risk of causing harm to either themselves or others, University Security or the appropriate Emergency Service (depending on severity) should be contacted immediately. If they appear to be violent, dangerous, or very disturbed, they should not be approached.

Temporary exclusion

- 44 Temporary exclusion may be considered where there is ongoing concern over risk to self or others. See Appendix 2: Process for Temporary Exclusion.

The formal stage

- 45 The purpose of an investigation is to:

- Confirm the alleged misconduct falls within the jurisdiction of these procedures;
 - Identify and gather relevant evidence, including taking witness statements;
 - Consider any mitigating or aggravating factors;
 - Reach a conclusion based on consideration of that evidence as to whether the allegation took place, the overall impact, and the category of misconduct.
- 46 This will result in the compilation of an investigation report for the Conduct Manager. The report will summarise the findings and also include any recommendations for action and possible next steps.
- 47 During the investigation, it is expected that the Investigating Officer will offer to meet with the student to hear their account and explain the nature of the process and possible outcomes. The student will also be requested to submit a written statement, regardless of whether or not they choose to meet with the Investigating Officer. Students may be accompanied by a supporter or representative at such a meeting.
- 48 If the student does not co-operate, the investigation will go ahead and the conclusion will be based on the evidence available.
- 49 It is expected that investigations will be concluded within a maximum of **twenty working days**.

Decision of the Conduct Manager

- 50 The Conduct Manager will make a decision on whether or not to accept the findings of the investigation and any recommendations, including the category of misconduct.
- 51 They will determine whether:
- There is no case to answer and no further action is required;
 - The case should be pursued under another procedure;
 - The case constitutes minor or major misconduct and the appropriate penalty to be imposed;
 - The case constitutes gross misconduct and must be referred to a formal Conduct Panel;
 - The case constitutes frivolous, malicious or vexatious behaviour, in which case a disciplinary allegation may be made against the individual making the original allegation.
- 52 Within a maximum of 5 working days, the Conduct Manager will relay their decision to the Academic Registry, who will inform the student in writing (normally by email). A copy of the report and all evidence compiled will also be provided.

Referral to a Conduct Panel

- 53 Cases deemed to constitute gross misconduct will be referred to a formal Conduct Panel by the Conduct Manager. This is because the allegations are serious and/or the potential consequences for the student are severe, i.e. temporary or permanent exclusion.

See Appendix 1 for the constitution of the panel, the format of the meeting, and supporting guidance.

- 54 The Conduct Manager will be responsible for presenting the case against the student and calling any witnesses on behalf of the University.

- 55 The Student will attend the meeting and will be entitled to bring a supporter or representative. They may also call witnesses to the incident. Students may not bring supporters or representatives in a legal capacity.
- 56 The remit of the Panel will be to:
- Consider the evidence and ask questions of the Conduct Manager, the student and any witnesses called;
 - Determine whether the student's actions can be considered a breach of behavioural standards outlined in the Student Code of Conduct or other University Policy;
 - Consider the impact of mitigating or aggravating factors that may have been identified
 - Agree the penalty for proven misconduct;
 - Agree any additional support which should be offered to the individual.
- 57 A written record of the meeting will be securely held, which will confirm who attended, and summary of the discussion and any agreed actions. It will not be a verbatim account.

Penalties for proven misconduct

- 58 The penalties for major misconduct may include:
- Written warning, including a final written warning for persistent misconduct;
 - Requirement for an apology in writing;
 - Financial redress for damage to property;
 - Period of temporary exclusion from the University of up to one month's duration;
 - Any other penalty that is deemed reasonable given the circumstances of the case, not to include specific penalties for gross misconduct.
- 59 Penalties for gross misconduct may include any of the penalties for major misconduct with the following additions:
- Period of temporary exclusion from the University of up to one academic year;
 - Permanent exclusion from the University (requires ratification by a member of the University Executive Team [UET]);
 - Any other penalty that may be reasonable given the circumstances of the case.
- 60 Penalties may be suspended, to take effect at a later date, or to take effect in the event of any further misconduct.
- 61 The list does not include any penalties that may be imposed as a result of a contractual obligation.

Reconsideration of the same offence

- 62 The University reserves the right to reconsider an allegation if new evidence comes to light at a later date. Justification must be provided which demonstrates why the new evidence could not have been obtained at an earlier stage.

The review stage

- 63 At the conclusion of a case, the student has the right to submit a request a review by submitting a completed Student Conduct Request for Review form. A Request for

Review is only valid when it is accompanied by appropriate evidence, and can be submitted for consideration on the following grounds:

- procedural irregularity, including bias on the part of the panel;
- disregard of material evidence;
- the penalty not being proportionate to the student's misconduct.

Note: Students should use the Request for Review form which will help them to identify their grounds for a Review and provide associated guidance on the process. Completed forms should be submitted to resolution@bucks.ac.uk within 10 working days of receiving notification of the outcome of the formal stage.

64 A Request for Review will be referred to an appropriate member of staff who has not been involved at any previous stage. Reviewers will be asked to consider whether:

- the procedure was followed during the formal stage;
- the outcome was reasonable in all circumstances;
- new 'material' evidence has been provided.

Note: It is expected that Reviews will be completed within 10 working days of receipt.

65 Where a Review identifies issues with the outcome of the case, the Review will be upheld and the matter will be referred back to the previous stage for reconsideration. This decision will be communicated to the student in writing along with an explanation of the next steps in the process and the likely timeframe.

Note: Reconsideration of the case must be concluded as soon as possible. If a compelling case to overturn the decision is identified, appropriate action may be taken to ensure that the matter is resolved without further delay, i.e. without reconvening the original decision-making body.

66 The submission of a Request for Review may delay the administering of a penalty. However, if the student was temporarily excluded pending the conduct investigation, this exclusion remains in place until the outcome of the Review is known.

67 Where a Request for Review is not accepted (because it did not correspond to one or more of the grounds above) or is accepted and subsequently not upheld, this decision will be communicated to the student in writing (normally by email) by issuing a Completion of Procedures letter outlining the reasons for the decision.

68 If a case is referred to a new panel, the panel is constituted as above. New panel members will not have had any previous involvement in the case. The hearing shall proceed as per the regulations above. Students shall be entitled to submit a Request for Review against the new panel's decision as is their normal right.

69 If a student wishes to present new evidence to the rearranged panel they must provide details of why this evidence was not available at the time of the original panel hearing.

Completion of procedures

70 The subject of a conduct case may complain to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) after the University procedures have been completed.

71 Any complaint to the OIA must be submitted within 12 months of the Completion of Procedures letter being issued to the student. The OIA will not normally consider

complaints which have not followed the University's procedures through all stages, including Request for Review.

72 The Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education may be contacted at:

Tel: 0118 959 9813

Email: enquiries@oiahe.org

Website: www.oiahe.org.uk

Appendix 1: Procedure for a Conduct Hearing

Conduct Panel Terms of Reference

1 A panel will comprise:

- A senior member of staff, such as a Head of School, Associate Head of School, or Director of Service, or member of the University Executive Team (UET) who will act as Chair
- Two further senior members of academic or PSE staff
- SU Sabbatical officer

A minimum of three members of the panel (including the Chair) will be required for quoracy purposes.

2 Every effort will be made to ensure an appropriate balance of panel members. (i.e. representatives from a different school to that of the subject of the disciplinary, regard to gender and other characteristics)

3 Others who will attend the hearing:

- The student
- The Conduct Manager¹
- Any witnesses either of the above wish to call

4 Permanent exclusion of a student from the University requires ratification by a member of UET. If the panel does not include a member of UET, the Chair will forward the outcome and recommendation of the panel to the Academic Registrar for ratification within 5 days of the panel hearing.

5 Academic Registry will appoint a Secretary to the panel within 5 days of the referral. The Secretary's responsibility will be to:

- a. confirm date for hearing
- b. book the venue and equipment
- c. send calendar invitations to the panel, the investigating officer, any witnesses the University wishes to call and the student,

Note: It is the responsibility of the student to inform their supporter and / or witnesses of the date and time of the hearing

- d. ensure that in the case of any declared disability, appropriate reasonable adjustments are made for any panel members or the student
- e. find alternative panel member/s in the event of a conflict of interest
- f. email an evidence pack to the panel and the student, no less than 5 working days before the date of the hearing
- g. Provide guidance to the student about their rights and responsibilities including:
 - i. their right to be accompanied to the hearing, although not by anyone acting in a legal capacity
 - ii. their right to ask witnesses to attend the hearing

¹ The Conduct Manager must attend the hearing to present the investigation report to the panel. If they are unavoidably unavailable due to pre-booked leave, they must appoint a nominee.

- h. Take a formal record of the hearing. (Records of meetings are not intended to a verbatim account, but will summarise key points and decisions)
 - i. Draft the decision letter for signature by the Chair
- 6 Panel members should be made aware of the identity of the student involved as soon as possible, to ensure no conflict of interest. If a conflict of interest arises, this must be communicated to the secretary to the panel immediately, and an alternative panel member appointed. Equally the student must make the secretary aware of any perceived conflict of interest as soon as possible, and before the hearing date.
- 7 The hearing may exceptionally be postponed once at the request of the student, on receipt of appropriate evidence to substantiate their reasons for doing so. If the student is unable to attend a second time, the panel may be held in their absence, although work commitments will not be taken into account for non-attendance.

Panel procedure

- 8 The role of the panel is to:
- consider the evidence;
 - determine whether the student's actions can be considered a breach of the Student Code of Conduct or any other University Policy
 - agree any penalty for proven misconduct
 - agree any additional support which should be offered to the student
- 9 The panel should be proactive, ensure they are familiar with the case paperwork in advance, ask questions of the student and any witnesses during the panel hearing, and ensure they are satisfied with all of the information made available to them before making any decision.
- 10 If a student brings a supporter or representative to the hearing, that person may speak to the panel with the agreement of the Chair.
- 11 The hearing will follow the following format:
- The panel will hold a private preliminary discussion (approximately 15 minutes) regarding the documentary evidence, identify key issues, and agree lines of questioning.
 - The student (including their supporter or representative as appropriate) and the Conduct Manager are invited into the hearing
 - The Chair makes introductions and explains the format of the meeting
 - The Conduct Manager presents the case on behalf of the University and addresses any questions from the panel or student
 - The Conduct Manager asks for their witnesses to be called
 - Questions can be put to the witnesses by the panel and/or student
 - The student is invited to respond and address any questions from the panel
 - The student calls any witnesses who will address any questions from the panel and / or student
 - The student is invited to make a closing statement
 - The student and Conduct Manager leave the hearing

Note: The open part of the hearing must be held in front of the whole panel AND the subject of the disciplinary with their supporter or representative. Witnesses must appear in front of the panel AND the subject of the disciplinary, who must be allowed to ask any questions which arise as a result of the witnesses' statements.

- The panel will hold a further closed meeting to confirm whether they find the allegation is deemed to be true, and if so to decide on any penalty.
- 12 When determining an appropriate penalty, the Panel must take into account:
- Evidence of any mitigating circumstances or aggravating factors and their relevance to the behaviour
 - Possible unintended impact of a penalty, including whether a period of suspension in relation to a maximum period of registration
 - Attitude of the student to the behaviour, such as evidence of remorse or regret
 - Impact the behaviour has had on other persons
 - Need for compensation to cover the cost of repairs to damaged property
 - Likelihood of repeat of behaviour
- 13 Full details of the reasons for the decisions will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.
- 14 The Secretary will ensure the outcome is sent by email to the student within 5 working days of the hearing.

Appendix 2: Process for Temporary Exclusion

- 1 Temporary exclusion of a student pending completion of the conduct procedures may be considered in certain circumstances, such as a violent assault which resulted in police intervention.

Note: In itself temporary exclusion from the University is not intended to be a penalty, although it may have consequences for the student which are unavoidable.

- 2 On the basis of an allegation, if Academic Registry or the appointed Conduct Manager considers that there is a risk of immediate or continuing danger to others, or disruption of the University's normal operations because of the nature of the student's behaviour, they may make a recommendation that the student is temporarily excluded from all or part of the University's premises, including residential accommodation.
- 3 The same procedure also applies to practice placements and other off-campus learning locations in which case the student may be suspended from the placement / learning setting and all or part of the University's premises, including residential accommodation. Please note: the *Fitness to Practise* procedure should be referred to regarding students on certain professional courses.
- 4 Academic Registry or the Conduct Manager will complete the 'Temporary Exclusion form', which incorporates a risk assessment tool. The report will include details of the alleged misconduct, and be supported by any evidence which might be available. It will conclude with a recommendation for temporary exclusion and request for authorisation.
- 5 In making any recommendations they should take into account any examination attendance or assignment deadline that may apply to the student. If necessary, it should be explored whether special arrangements can be made in order for the student to attend exams or submit assignments. This may not always be possible.
- 6 The report will be submitted to one of the following, who will consider the report and recommendations, and confirm their decision within one working day. Those who can authorise temporary exclusion are:
 - Member of the University Executive Team (UET)
 - Head of School
 - Director of Estates
 - Director of Student Success
 - A nominee of any of the above
- 7 Once approval is confirmed the Academic Registry will take the appropriate action to ensure the temporary exclusion is applied to the student's record, and will inform the student in writing (normally by email) of the decision and any conditions which apply.

Note: If approval is not confirmed no further action will be taken.)

Right of Appeal

- 8 A student may submit an appeal against the temporary exclusion on the following grounds:
 - Material procedural irregularity

- Disregard of material evidence
 - New evidence has become available
 - Demonstrable bias or prejudice
- 9 An appeal must be submitted within 10 working days from the original decision in writing, and addressed to resolution@bucks.ac.uk. It will be recommended to the student they seek help and support from the SU Advice Centre in submitting an appeal.
- 10 A prima facie review of the appeal will be undertaken to confirm it is eligible under the grounds mentioned. If so, it will be referred to an Appeal Manager, who will be one of those mentioned above, but not the person who made the original decision, for consideration.
- 11 The Appeal Manager will inform Academic Registry of their decision whether or not to uphold the appeal along with the reasons for that decision. The Academic Registry will inform the student of the outcome, and take any necessary actions.

Review of decision

- 12 The decision to temporarily exclude a student will be reviewed every four weeks from the date of the original decision. Any changes in circumstances will be highlighted.
- 13 The report will be referred to the person who made the original decision (or their nominee if they are unavailable). The review will not involve a hearing, but the student will be entitled to submit a written statement for consideration. If there have been no changes in circumstance the suspension will remain in place for a further four-week period.
- 14 If new information or evidence is made available which may have a material effect on the temporary exclusion, a review will be undertaken as soon as possible, and will not wait for the four-week review.